

Response to Consultation Paper on proposed Digital Identity legislation

Anonymous submission

Before completing your submission you will need to read the Privacy Notice. I have read the above Privacy Notice and understand how my personal information will be used, and I wish to continue.

Yes

Can we publish your submission?

Yes, but I prefer to remain anonymous. Your submission will appear on our website with the name 'Anonymous' in place of any name or organisational name you provide.

I am submitting:

Anonymously

Q16. Question 1A Are the matters (legal authority, privacy protections, governance, amendments) relevant matters which should be included in the Legislation? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper | Section 3.1 Purpose of the Legislation

No

Q18. If no, please give more information about why the matters above should NOT be included in the Legislation?

I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.

Q19. Question 1B Are there additional matters which should be considered? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper | Section 3.1

No

Purpose of the Legislation

Q21. If no, please give more information about why?

I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.

Q24. Please describe why you think the selected above, including other, is important

I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.

Q27. Please describe why you think the selected above, including other, is important.

I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.

Q30. Please describe why you think the selected above, including other, is important

I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.

Q31. Question 3 Is a publicly available 'Digital Identity Participant Register' an appropriate mechanism to communicate who will be covered by the Legislation? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.3 Scope of the Legislation

No

Q33. If no, please give more detail about why a publicly available 'Digital Identity Participant Register' is NOT an appropriate mechanism to communicate who will be covered by the Legislation?

I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.

Q34. Question 4Are the proposed obligations on relying parties described [see link] reasonable? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.3 Scope of the Legislation	No
Q36. If no, please give more detail about why the proposed obligations are NOT reasonable?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q37. Question 4[second part] Should there be any additional obligations in addition to the proposed obligations on relying parties? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.3 Scope of the Legislation.	Yes
Q38. If yes, please describe what additional obligations should apply	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q40. Question 5Are the concepts outlined [see link] appropriate to include in a definition of 'Digital Identity' for the Legislation? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.3 Scope of the Legislation.	No
Q42. If no, please give more detail about why the concepts outlined above are NOT appropriate to include in a definition of 'Digital Identity'?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q43. Question 5[second part] Are there any additional concepts that should be included? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.3 Scope of the Legislation	Yes
Q44. If yes, please describe what additional concepts should be included	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q46. Question 6Does the legislation need to include a definition of Digital Identity information, or is it preferable to rely on the definitions of personal, sensitive, or protected information in other Commonwealth Acts? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.3 Scope of the Legislation	Yes, it should include a new definition
Q47. Yes, it should include a new definition - please describe why	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q49. Question 7What factors should be considered in the development of a charging framework for the system? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.4 Financial sustainability of the System	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q50. Question 8AWhat factors should be considered in the development of the liability framework? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.5 Liability	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q51. Question 8BIn what circumstances should Participants be held liable under the liability framework? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.5 Liability	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q52. Question 8CWhat remedies and/or redress should be available to aggrieved Participants and Users for loss or damage suffered as a result of their use of the system? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.5 Liability	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q53. Question 8DWhat other best practice mechanisms and processes should be considered to support Users when things go wrong? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 3.5 Liability	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.

Q54. Question 9A Should the proposed privacy and consumer protections listed [see link] be enshrined in primary legislation? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.2 Privacy	No
Q56. If no, please explain why the proposed privacy and consumer protections listed above should NOT be enshrined in primary legislation?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q57. Question 9B Are additional protections required? If so, what? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.2 Privacy	Yes
Q58. If yes, please explain what additional protections are required	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q60. Question 10A Should the Legislation include rules around the extent of choice available to Users to verify their identity? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.3 Choice	Yes
Q61. If yes, please explain why the Legislation should include rules around the extent of choice available to Users to verify their identity	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q63. Question 10B Should any types, or all types of relying parties be obliged to provide an alternative identity verification mechanism, and what exceptions should be available? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.3 Choice	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q66. Question 11A What types of profiling of behavioural information should be prohibited and allowed? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.4 Restrictions on data profiling	ALL prohibited
Q67. Question 11B Should a public register of Attributes be maintained? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.4 Restrictions on data profiling	No
Q69. If no, please explain why a public register of Attributes should NOT be maintained?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q70. Question 11C Should there be additional restrictions on access to Restricted Attributes? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.4 Restrictions on data profiling	Yes
Q71. If yes, please explain why there should be additional restrictions on access to Restricted Attributes?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q73. Question 12A Are there any other safeguards on Biometric information that should be included in the Legislation? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.5 Biometrics	Yes
Q74. If yes, please explain what other safeguards on Biometric information should be included in the Legislation?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.

	Yes
Q76. Question 12B Are there any that have been proposed [see link] that should be modified or excluded, and if so, why? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.5 Biometrics	
Q77. If yes, please explain which safeguards should be modified or excluded, and why?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q79. Question 13A Do you agree with the proposed approach on Biometric Information? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.5 Biometrics	No
Q81. If no, please explain why you do NOT agree with the proposed position on Biometric Information	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q82. Question 13B Will the limitations on Biometric information overly constrain innovation or rule out legitimate future use cases? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.5 Biometrics	No
Q84. If no, please explain how the limitations on Biometric information DO NOT overly constrain innovation or rule out legitimate future use cases	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole. Yes
Q85. Question 14A Should the Legislation specifically provide a mechanism requiring an individual's consent before the User transacts with a relying party? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.6 Consent	
Q86. If yes, please explain how the Legislation should specifically provide a mechanism requiring an individual's consent before the User transacts with a relying party?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q88. Question 14B Should the Legislation specifically provide an opt-out mechanism enabling individuals to opt-out of the system after they have created a Digital Identity? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.6 Consent	Yes
Q89. If yes, please explain why the Legislation should specifically provide an opt-out mechanism enabling individuals to opt-out of the system after they have created a Digital Identity?	You have no RIGHT to my data, therefore opt-out at ANY time.
Q91. Question 15 Should there be a minimum age set for a young person to be permitted to create their own Digital Identity? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.7 Age	Yes
	999
Q92. What should the minimum age set for a young person to be permitted to create their own Digital Identity be?	

Q93. Please explain why you chose that age	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole. BY LEAVING US ALONE!!!!
Q95. Question 16How should the Legislation cover situations where a person lacks capacity, is not capable, is too young or lacks interest or motivation to engage personally with the system? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.8 Acting on behalf of another	Legislation
Q96. Question 17Should the requirement for a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) remain in TDIF accreditation requirements or should it be required in the Legislation or Operating Rules? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.9 Privacy Impact Assessments	Legislation
Q97. Please describe why you think the selected above is important	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole. By abandoning the legislation
Q98. Question 18In addition to the right to privacy and anti-discrimination in relation to accessibility and disability, how should the Legislation safeguard and ensure the enjoyment of Australians’ human rights? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.10 Human Rights	No
Q99. Question 19Is the proposed approach to accessibility and usability practical and appropriate? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.11 Accessibility and anti-discrimination	No
Q101. If no, please explain why this is not practical and/or appropriate	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q102. Question 19[second part] Should any other considerations be taken into account? Please list them here: Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.11 Accessibility and anti-discrimination	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q103 Question 20What additional mechanisms, including penalties and redress mechanisms, should be included in the Legislation to prevent disclosure or misuse of personal or other information? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.12 Penalties	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q104. Question 21Should the Legislation include provisions to enable the disclosure of information in specified circumstances? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 4.13 Disclosure of personal information	No
Q106. If no, please explain why the Legislation should NOT include provisions to enable the disclosure of information in specified circumstances?	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.
Q107. Question 22Are there established independent bodies that could fulfil the role of an independent Oversight Authority for Digital Identity, or is a new independent body required? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.1 Independence	No - a new independent body is required
Q109. If no, a new independent body is required, please describe	I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people

<p>Q110. Question 22B What is the optimal structure of a new body? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.1 Independence</p>	<p>who oppose the the legislation as a whole. I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q111. Question 23 What types (or types) of information should be required to be publicly reported by the Oversight Authority to increase transparency in the system? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.2 Transparency</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q112. Question 24A What is the appropriate period for review of the governance structure of the Oversight Authority? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.3 Accountability</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q113. Question 24B Should the Oversight Authority be subject to accountability requirements beyond those in the PGPA Act? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.3 Accountability</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Q114. Please provide detail about what additional accountability requirements are needed</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q116. Question 25A Are the roles and functions outlined [see link] appropriate for the Oversight Authority? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.4 Functions and activities</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Q118. If no, please explain why the the roles and functions outlined above are NOT appropriate for the Oversight Authority</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q119. Question 25B Are there any other functions that should be undertaken by an Oversight Authority? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.4 Functions and activities</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Q121. If no, please provide comment as to why other functions should NOT be undertaken by an Oversight Authority</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q122. Question 26A What other committees or advisory structures do you think may be needed? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.5 Advisory committees</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q123. Question 26B Which other organisations or bodies could supply members of the Privacy Advisory Committee? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.5 Advisory committees</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q124. Question 27 Should the record keeping requirements be outlined in the Legislation? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 5.6 Record Keeping</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Q125. If yes, what should the record keeping requirements be?</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q128. Question 29 Is the proposed approach appropriately balanced to achieve the objectives of the system? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 6.1 Consistency across Australia</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Q130. If no, please explain how the proposed approach is NOT appropriately balanced to achieve the objectives of the system</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q131. Question 30 Should the Legislation specify whether and how audit logs from the system can be used in court as evidence? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 6.2 Use of audit logs in judicial proceedings</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Q133. If no, please explain why not</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And,</p>

<p>Q134. Question 31 Is the proposed approach appropriate to achieve a high degree of consistency of privacy protections? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 6.3 Consistency of privacy protections</p>	<p>frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole. No</p>
<p>Q135. If no, please explain why the proposed approach is NOT appropriate to achieve a high degree of consistency of privacy protections</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q137. Question 32 Should the Legislation specifically provide that additional administrative decisions relating to the system be subject to merits review? Take me to the relevant part of the Consultation Paper – Section 6.4 Administrative law and judicial proceedings</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Q138. If yes, please explain why the Legislation should specifically provide that additional administrative decisions relating to the system be subject to merits review</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>
<p>Q140. If you have any further feedback on any other aspect of the matters being consulted upon, please provide it here.</p>	<p>I oppose the legislation, and by extension all parts of it. And, frankly it is insulting that you do NOT have a response for people who oppose the the legislation as a whole.</p>